



Governing quality and safety in informal dairy markets: evidence from Kenya, Tanzania and Assam (India)

Alejandro Guarín, Emma Blackmore, Giulia Nicolini and Bill Vorley (IIED)

Silvia Alonso, Ram Deka, Charity Kinyua and Delia Grace (ILRI)

29 June 2021

 www.iied.org  [@IIED](https://twitter.com/IIED) [#ANH2021](https://twitter.com/ANH2021) www.ANH-Academy.org/ANH2021



Informal dairy markets: important but sidelined

- Informal markets are crucial for access, affordability, nutrition, and livelihoods
- Unpasteurised milk + lack of refrigeration = concerns about quality and safety
- Policy approaches: conflict, tolerance, or marginalisation

Our key questions:

- How is informal dairy regulated?
- What are the perceptions and practices of informal actors?
- What are the opportunities for innovative approaches to governance?



Informal milk vendor in Guwahati, Assam. Giulia Nicolini

Locations and Methods

- Urban and peri-urban locations in Nairobi (Kenya), Arusha (Tanzania) and Guwahati (India)
- Survey of market actors (n=431)

Producers	10%
Wholesalers	14%
Traders/retailers	38%
Consumers	38%

- 45 interviews with key informants



Milk stall in Guwahati, Assam. Giulia Nicolini

Policy: a common bias towards formalisation

- Broad policy acknowledges importance of informality, but aims for formalisation
- Vision for dairy sector = industrialisation, pasteurisation, cold supply chain, branding and packaging



Stephanie Booths, Flickr

Compliance, like awareness, is low

- **Licenses:** <50% of traders in Kenya and Tanzania comply; >90% in Assam were unaware
- **Reasons:** cost and complexity of licences; sales too small to justify them
- **Consequences:** often severe in Kenya, none in Tanzania or Assam
- **View of regulators** is related to severity of enforcement: negative in Kenya, neutral in Tanzania and Assam

Milk hawkers resist clamp down on business

By BRIAN NGUGI
April 3rd, 2016 • 1 min read

Share this [f](#) [t](#) [in](#) [✉](#) [wh](#)



Nairobi News: nairobinews.nation.co.ke

All actors care about quality and safety, and take measures to ensure them

- Formal testing (lactometer) and refrigeration are rare – actors rely on appearance and smell
- Choice of seller is based in **trust and loyalty**; traders and consumers rarely change suppliers
- Consumers **boil milk** to manage risk; they don't care about labels/certificates
- **These measures appear to work**: milk spoilage was reported at <10%, and few consumers report sickness related to milk (but self-assessment is problematic)
- **BUT**: actors complain about adulteration, want better skills and facilities



Wholesalers collecting milk from producers near Guwahati, Assam. Photo by Giulia Nicolini

Key messages

- **Informal milk is THE dominant market**, not on the way out → big implications for governance
- **Quality and safety practices and outcomes similar across countries** despite regulatory differences
- **Policies are similar across countries**, but regulatory approaches very different



Milk sale on the street in Guwahati, Assam. Photo by Giulia Nicolini

Implications for governance and innovation

- Policies are **not aligned** with the capabilities or incentives of actors
- **A pasteurised future is unlikely, at least in the medium term:** costs of non-compliance remain low, plus there is sustained demand for raw milk
- Confrontational approach (e.g. Kenya) doesn't seem to lead to better quality and safety outcomes
- Relationships are based on trust and loyalty: certifications and labels unlikely to sway demand



Photo by Giulia Nicolini

Thank you



The *MoreMilk: Making the most of milk* project is funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the CGIAR Research Program on Agriculture for Nutrition and Health, and UK Aid from the United Kingdom government

BILL &
MELINDA
GATES
foundation



Photos by Giulia Nicolini