

Innovative Methods and Metrics for Agriculture and Nutrition Actions

# Research Brief



#### FINDINGS

- In Bangladesh, the traditional preparation/ filleting process of fin-fish leads to by-products that are approximately 15% of the total body weight of fish and 50-66% of the total body weight of shrimps and prawns.
- An analysis of the byproducts found that they are sources of valuable nutrients such as protein, fat, and minerals, and that the nutritional composition of some by-products is similar to or even higher than the consumed portion.
- Four key micronutrients, Ca, Fe, Zn and Se were almost double to a few hundred times higher than in the consumed portion of the same species of fish and crustaceans.
- Scaling up these estimates to national production in Bangladesh, we estimate that by-products generated from processing of fish alone could amount to 52,673 tonnes of protein, and 71,769 tonnes of fat, annually.
- The estimated monetary value of by-products-based fish meal and oil could be USD 32.56-65.46 million annually.

## Quantifying the nutrients in by-products from fish processing for use in sustainable aquaculture in Bangladesh

### RATIONALE

Fish offer high-value protein, essential micronutrients, vitamins, and omega-3 fatty acids. Although vegetable sources are increasingly important in the production of feed for fish, marine ingredients, mainly fish meal and fish oil are important protein and lipid sources. The production of 1 kg of fish meal requires 4 to 6 kg of raw fish, whereas, the production of 1 kg of fish oil requires 10-50 kg [1]. The majority share of fish meal (60%) and fish oil (80%) are used in the aquaculture industry. Globally, about 9.1 million metric tonnes (MT) of fish byproducts are discarded annually at different stages of processing [2]. These traditional (high nutritional value, low monetary value) fish and crustacean byproducts are un-used or under-utilized, despite containing an equivalent portion of protein and other essential nutrients to the raw fish. By-products are typically processed into inferior products like animal feed, fish meal, and fertilizer [3]. Furthermore, the nutritional value of some fishery products such as collagen, chitosan, minerals, essential amino acids, and PUFAs could be five times higher than the primary products [4]. The underutilization of Bangladeshi fish byproducts remains largely undocumented. The goal of this study is to quantify the by-products produced, to characterize their nutritional value, and to discuss how these products might be used as feed ingredients and other products.



Innovative Methods and Metrics for Agriculture and Nutrition Actions

## **Research Brief**

September, 2022

	Species	Total Production in 2019 (MT)	% contribution in WW of total fish production	By-products (±SD) in %	Plate waste in relation to total weight (mean±SD) in %	Consumable portion in relation to total weight (mean±SD) in %
e waste at household level.	Hilsa	532,795	12.15	9.3 ± 3.6	3.7 ± 0.5	88.3 ± 3.4
	Pangus	458,307	10.45	11.9 ± 8.9	7.5 ± 1.3	76.6 ± 1.7
	Rui	392,843	8.96	14.2 ± 4.4	8.5 ± 0.8	76.8 ± 4.3
	Tilapia	390,559	8.9	18.8 ± 5.9	10.4 ± 1.5	70.0 ± 2.4
	Silver carp	252,933	5.77	15.3 ± 6.9	7.0 ± 1.1	79.4 ± 2.8
	Mrigal	242,223	5.52	15.8 ± 5.9	7.5 ± 1.4	79.4 ± 3.9
	Catla	240,558	5.49	15.3 ± 6.6	12.0 ± 0.1	72.2 ± 0.2
	Carpio	124,028	2.83	18.8 ± 7.6	7.4 ± 1.1	70.4 ± 5.3
	Sarpunti	95,649	2.18	16.0 ± 5.0	10.2 ± 2.1	75.6 ± 3.9
plat	Pabda	93,764	2.14	10.9 ± 4.2	4.4 ± 1.5	84.4 ± 5.5
E	Grass carp	69,217	1.58	15.8 ± 6.9	7.4 ± 2.1	78.1 ± 3.8
d fro	Shrimp	63,171	1.44	49.3 ± 2.6	0	50.5 ± 3.4
ano	Коі	63,103	1.44	17.3 ± 4.6	19.8 ± 7.3	62.7 ± 8.9
ing	Prawn	52,197	1.19	33.1 ± 2.9	0	66.9 ± 2.7
da Ca	Kalbaus	39,020	0.89	17.0 ± 5.7	8.5 ± 0.7	74.1 ± 5.2
<del>0</del>	Bighead	15,292	0.35	14.4 ± 6.9	8.7 ± 1.0	77.0 ± 1.2

#### RESOURCES

**Table 1**. Fish waste by species (n= >3,000 fish from n=200 markets, and n=20 households) during the process of filleting/

- Traditional fish filleting data for the top 16 fish species in Bangladesh
- Nutrient data for different types of fish and crustacean by-products

#### **OPPORTUNITIES**

- Repurposing currently unused or underutilized fish by -products could have substantial financial benefits along the food value chain
- Utilization of fish byproducts as fish feed ingredients could provide a significant opportunity for sustainable aquaculture development.

## **METHODS AND FINDINGS**

The study was conducted in the retail fish markets and urban households in Bangladesh. The selected 16 fish and crustacean species contribute at least 71.3% of the total fish production volume in Bangladesh [5]. The total length (TL in cm) and weight (g) were recorded for every species. After traditional filleting fish (n= >3000), by-products including fin, scale, gill, viscera, claw and shell were generated and weights were recorded accordingly.

The results show common carp generate the highest percentage of byproducts (18.8 $\pm$ 7.6) during the process of filleting compared to all other fish, whilst hilsa generates the lowest (9.3 $\pm$ 3.6) (7). We found that the traditional cleaning process of fin fish in Bangladesh on average produces 15% of fish by-products (total wet weight (WW)). At the household level plate waste (as traditional filleting allows internal bones) ranged from 3.7 to 19.8% of the total weight of fish (Table 1). In the case of crustaceans, by-products are generated at 50-66% of the total body weight of shrimp and prawns, which are consistent with the findings of Klomklao et al. (2019) who stated that approximately 75% of the by-products are generated from crustacean species such as shrimp, crabs, prawns, lobster, and krill [8]. The micronutrient content, including calcium (Ca), iron (Fe), zinc (Zn) and selenium (Se) of by-products were analysed as described by Sprague (9).

Our findings showed that the viscera are high in micronutrients (Table 2), our figures are similar to studies from elsewhere [6]. We found that the protein content of fish scales ranged from 20-30g per 100g raw weight and the total lipid content ranged from 1.0 to 3.1g, similar to Rustad et al. (2011) [10].



Innovative Methods and Metrics for Agriculture and Nutrition Actions

## **Research Brief**

September, 2022

Species	Anatomical part meas- ured	Protein (g/100g)	Lipid (g/100g)	Ca (mg/100g)	Fe (mg/100g)	Zn (mg/100g)	Se (µg/100g)
Hilsa	Viscera	9.4 ± 6.2	11.8 ± 3.4	615.8 ± 78.1	581.0 ± 34.9	66.7 ± 7.9	40 ± 3.9
Pangas	Viscera	17.9 ± 3.0	21.4 ± 2.0	1,381.0 ± 201.1	2,568.6 ± 149.9	87.6 ± 27.5	81 ± 12.1
Rui	Viscera	10.4 ± 2.2	21.8 ± 3.0	618.5 ± 112.6	1,728.1 ± 477.9	297.5 ± 29.5	106 ± 23.8
Tilapia	Viscera	9.0 ± 2.4	30.7 ± 6.8	487.2 ± 39.9	246.6 ± 88.7	41.5 ± 13.0	89 ± 24.0
Silver carp	Viscera	4.3 ± 0.7	5.8 ± 0.8	839.1 ± 190.4	6,300.7 ± 789.7	24.9 ± 2.0	138 ± 13.3
Mrigal	Viscera	6.4 ± 0.9	9.0 ± 0.5	839.0 ± 84.0	1,862.8 ± 209.5	102.2 ± 17.4	44 ± 2.0
Catla	Viscera	13.4 ± 0.9	18.6 ± 1.7	1,998.4 ± 377.9	2,855.4 ± 409.8	110.1 ± 34.0	27 ± 3.0
Carpio	Viscera	21.2 ± 5.3	$1.8 \pm 0.7$	607.5 ± 103.8	667.6 ± 46.0	2,095.8 ± 489.1	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
Sarputi	Viscera	25.7 ± 6.1	7.6 ± 3.2	312.3 ± 133.1	1,287.6 ± 208.6	47.5 ± 11.1	0.05 ± 0.02
Pabda	Viscera	16.7 ± 2.9	$6.6 \pm 0.1$	5,035.6 ± 876.9	852.5 ± 39.9	91.7 ± 18.0	$0.04 \pm 0.01$
Grass carp	Viscera	19.8 ± 4.8	19.7 ± 0.9	525.6 ± 57.0	1,229.9 ± 309.6	126.7 ± 10.0	0.08 ± 0.02
Коі	Viscera	26.2 ± 4.9	3.8 ± 1.1	3,565.9 ± 199.6	1,053.9 ± 109.0	82.5 ± 4.9	0.04 ± 0.01
Kalibaush	Viscera	6.4 ± 1.8	28.2 ± 8.7	751.3 ± 77.8	3,589.4 ± 569.7	216.1 ± 23.1	0.09 ± 0.01
Bighead	Viscera	21.3 ± 2.1	6.2 ± 1.3	784.0 ± 59.7	8,916.9 ± 209.8	223.8 ± 32.9	$0.08 \pm 0.01$
Chuima	Head*	15 ± 1.4	2.3 ± 0.2	2,662 ± 300	6.2 ± 0.3	126 ± 18	33 ± 5
Surimb	Shell*	17 ± 0.3	$1.1 \pm 0$	4,788 ± 547	5 ± 0.3	100 ± 11	19 ± 1
	Head*	13.5 ± 1	2 ± 0.2	1,409 ± 153	8.6 ± 1	78 ± 12	8.8 ± 0.6
	Shell*	17 ± 0.3	$1.3 \pm 0.4$	5,247 ± 434	8.7 ± 0.4	93 ± 3	5 ± 0.9
Prawn	Claw mus- cle*	17.6 ± 0.5	1.8 ± 0.2	147 ± 12	0.4 ± 0.1	144 ± 10	11 ± 1
	Brain*	7 ± 0.1	47 ± 2	16 ± 2	7 ± 0.6	66 ± 5	10 ± 1

At current levels of fish production, the estimated by-products from the 16 species of fish studied, could result in 281,161- 565,332 MT on a WW basis. That could contribute 6.5-13.1% in volume of fish meal production, and support sustainable aquaculture in Bangladesh. The value of this is almost 32.56 -65.46 million USD.

Fish and crustacean by-products provide essential nutrients that could be used for pet animal feed or as raw materials for agriculture, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic industries.



Innovative Methods and Metrics for Agriculture and Nutrition Actions

## **Research Brief**

September, 2022

### CONTACT

**CITATION** 

Abdullah-Al Mamun, David

C. Little, Shakuntala H.

'Quantifying the nutrients

sustainable aquaculture in

in by-products from fish

processing for use in

Bangladesh'. IMMANA

Thilsted, Andrew L.

Thorne-Lyman.

brief (2022)

Abdullah-Al Mamun, PhD, Department of Fisheries and Marine Science, Noakhali Science and Technology University, Noakhali, Bangladesh 3820

Email: mamun au22@yahoo.com

## **NEXT STEPS FOR FISH BY-PRODUCTS**

- The aquaculture industry is quickly being industrialized, 'fish by-products meal' (FBM) could provide a novel opportunity to cut the cost of producing aquaculture inputs. Feed businesses could switch from fish meal or oil to FBM/O and so provide a nutritionally dense product that is environmentally sustainable
- Fish and crustacean by-products could be used as raw material for recycling or converting into valuable products such as fertilizer, fish silage, gelatin, chitosan, collagen, animal feed, fish oil, cosmetic, and pharmaceuticals, all of which play important roles in the circular economy.
- Future studies could include sampling more fish and crustacean species, larger sample sizes, vitamin, amino acid and fatty acid profiling. The fish and crustacean processing industry could also be considered.

#### REFERENCES

1. Shepherd, C. and A. Jackson, *Global <u>fishmeal and fish-oil supply: inputs, outputs and markets</u> 2013, Wiley Online Library. Vol. 83, pp. 1046-1066.* 

2. FAO. 2020. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in action. Rome. 3. Hsu, K.-C., Purification of antioxidative peptides prepared from enzymatic hydrolysates of tuna dark muscle by-product. Food Chemistry, 2010. 122(1): p. 42-48.

4. Gildberg, A., J.A. Arnesen, & M. Carlehög, Utilisation of cod backbone by biochemical fractionation. Process Biochemistry, 2002.38(4) p.475-480.

5. DOF (2019) Yearbook of fisheries statistics of Bangladesh. Fisheries Resources Survey System (FRSS), (No. 36), Department of Fisheries.

6.Stevens, J.R., et al., *The rise of aquaculture by-products: Increasing food production, value, and sustainability through strategic utilisation*. Marine Policy, 2018. **90**: p. 115-124

7. Mamun, A.-A., Shrimp-prawn farming in Bangladesh: impacts on livelihoods, food and nutritional security. 2016, University of Stirling, UK

8. Klomklao, S., et al., Byproducts from Shellfish Harvesting and Processing. By-products from Agriculture and Fisheries: Adding Value for Food, Feed, Pharma, and Fuels, 2019: p. 219-257. 9. Sprague, M., Fawcett, S., Betancor, M., Struthers, W., & Tocher, D. (2020). Variation in the nutritional composition of farmed Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) fillets with emphasis on EPA and DHA contents. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 94, 103618.

10. Rustad, T., I. Storrø, and R. Slizyte, Possibilities for the utilisation of marine by-products. International journal of food science & technology, 2011. 46(10): p. 2001-201

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is funded through the Innovative Methods and Metrics for Agriculture and Nutrition Action (IMMANA) programme, led by the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM). IMMANA is co-funded with UK Aid from the UK government and by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation INV-002962 / OPP1211308. Under the grant conditions of the Foundation, a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Generic License has already been assigned to the Author Accepted Manuscript version that might arise from this submission.

🖹 ·www.anh-academy.org······ 🏕 ·@anh\_academy ······ 🚺 ·www.linkedin.com/company/anh-academy





Gerald I. and Dorothy R. Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Policy



LCIRAH

The London Centre for Integrative Research on Agriculture & Health

Funded by:



BILL& MELINDA GATES foundation