



# What Happened Next?

Sustainability of a Group-Based Nutrition-Sensitive Agriculture Project in Cote d'Ivoire

*Stella Nordhagen, Abdoulaye Traore*

ANH Academy Week 2019 | Hyderabad





# Background & Motivation

- ◇ Nutrition-sensitive agriculture – shown to have positive impacts on production, diets, nutritional status
- ◇ Very limited evidence on sustainability

→ Evaluate sustainability of a group-based nutrition-sensitive agriculture project



# Intervention

- ◇ Use existing women's groups as a platform for nutrition-sensitive agriculture
  - ◇ increase production and consumption of nutrient-rich vegetables, chickens, and eggs
- ◇ End goal: Improved nutrition for women and young children
- ◇ Components:
  - ◇ Gardening
  - ◇ Intensive poultry rearing
  - ◇ SBCC, women's empowerment



# Research Questions

Do groups continue to use the gardens and henhouses?

Do they remain productive, with work and benefits shared?

Do they remain nutrition-sensitive?



# Research Methods



- ◇ 18 mo. after project end
- ◇ 12 of 42 villages
- ◇ Quantitative survey (n=277)
- ◇ In-depth qualitative interviews (n=50)
- ◇ Observations at all gardens, henhouses
- ◇ Review of production records
- ◇ Compare data to monitoring surveys conducted during project



# Results - Gardening

- ◇ Strong continued membership in groups
  - ◇ 91% of respondents remain active members
- ◇ Decreased use, productivity
  - ◇ Only 25% of communal gardens fully planted
- ◇ Sales continue (170-340 USD / quarter), but less than in project
- ◇ Shift from collective production to individual management
  - ◇ 10% of gardens grown collectively, down from 95% at mid-project





# Results - Gardening

- ◇ Fall in crop diversity
  - ◇ only 60% have 5 or more crops planted, down from 81%
- ◇ Declines in production of main promoted nutrient-rich crops
  - ◇ OFSP, squash, carrot, moringa
- ◇ Increase in production of market crops (e.g., hot pepper)
- ◇ Decline in portion of harvest used for consumption (as opposed to sold)
  - ◇ From 30% mid-project to nearly 0% post-project







# Results - Poultry

- ◇ All henhouses still in existence, nearly all in good shape
- ◇ Only 3 of 12 being used to keep chickens
  - ◇ 2 of the 3 have small flocks – about 40% fewer birds than at project end
- ◇ Shift towards non-intensive, lower productivity techniques
- ◇ Fall in egg production
- ◇ Only ~25% of respondents participating in poultry activities
  - ◇ Usually just 1-2 people responsible for henhouse





# Poultry case study

- ◇ Highly productive
  - ◇ Raises cycles of 400-800 broilers
  - ◇ Earning USD 1,700 per cycle
  - ◇ Expanded twice, built new building, added ducks

But...

- ◇ taken over by a male manager
  - ◇ No use by women in village or benefit for them
- ◇ Raising broilers for sale to urban markets: limited nutrition impact





# Reasons for Outcomes

- ◇ Motivations:
  - ◇ Solidarity and mutual support
  - ◇ Income earning, autonomy
- ◇ Challenges:
  - ◇ Free riders under collective models
  - ◇ Intensive chicken-rearing has limited margin for error
  - ◇ Lack of confidence





Conclusions





# Thank you!

**Acknowledgements:** Global Affairs Canada,  
Tom van Mourik, Rolf Klemm, HKI Cote  
d'Ivoire, study participants, ANADER,  
Government of Cote d'Ivoire



**Helen Keller**  
INTERNATIONAL



Global Affairs  
Canada

Contact:

[snordhagen@hki.org](mailto:snordhagen@hki.org), [snordhagen@gainhealth.org](mailto:snordhagen@gainhealth.org)